PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY	BEXERINFAVER&THOMAS		•		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, L	ACTION: IDS'		PCT		
2030 ADDISON STREET P.O. BOX 778, 7TH FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704	DUE DATES: <u>03-02-03</u>	INTE	CATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF ERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT		
	DOCKETED: 12-6BY: 510 DOCKET NO.: JGT1FC45	2VV	(PCT Rule 71.1)		
(day/month/year) 02 DEC 2002					
Applicant's or agent's file reference					
IGT1P042.WO		IN	IPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No.	International filing date (da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US01/32368	15 October 2001 (15.10.2001)		19 October 2000 (19.10.2000)		
Applicant			-		
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHN	10LOGY				

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992) Authorized officer

M. Sager

Telephone No. 703-308-0858

Shelia Veney

Paralegal Specialist

Group 3700

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		Coo NosiGassi			
IGT1P042.WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION		on of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mor	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US01/32368	15 October 2001 (15.10.2001)		19 October 2000 (19.10.2000)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC				
IPC(7): A63F 9/24; G07F 17/32 and US	Cl.: 463/42				
Applicant					
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLO	OGY				
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consist of a	total of $\underline{0}$ sheets.				
3. This report contains indica	tions relating to the following i	tems:			
I Basis of the repo	ort				
II Priority					
	ent of report with regard to nov	elty inventive	stan and industrial applicability		
<u> </u>	The state of the s				
		gard to novelt	v importing at a call to the latest		
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documer	VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects i	VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observat					
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion	of this report		
03 May 2002 (03.05.2002)		vember 2002 (1	•		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		rized officer	The la Mener		
Commissioner of Patents and Trademark Box PCT Weshington, D. C. 2022	M. Sa	ıger	Shelia Vened Paralegal Specialist		
Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Teleph	one No. 703-3	0 0700		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US01/32368

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:				
the entire international application,				
claims Nos. 15-47				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which not require international preliminary examination (specify):	does			
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so us that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	nclear			
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 15-47				
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US01/32368

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 2 and 9-14 YES Claims 1 and 3-8 NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE YES Claims 1-14 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-14 YES Claims NONE NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1 and 3-8 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pease (5759102).

Claims 2 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pease in view of Johnson (5923885). Pease discloses the invention but lacks firewall. However it is known as admitted by background disclosure remote gaming (1:16-4:23) with a plurality of entities. Specifically, to protect sensitive data in a network a firewall is used for increasing security or to protect the data. Johnson discloses method or system using a firewall to protect sensitive data in a network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a routineer to add firewall as known and disclosed by Johnson to Pease's game to protect sensitive data.

Claims 9-14 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pease in view of Brown (5921947) and Boushy (5761647. Pease discloses the invention but lacks the plurality of entities and the privileges claimed therein. However, it is known for a plurality of entities to own terminals and software such as affiliates and for remote gaming (1:16-4:23). Boushy further demonstrates a plurality of entities owning terminals and software components which inherently have privileges. Also, Brown teaches entities and the privileges in a network to permit access for entities with appropriate privileges while protecting data therein. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a routineer at a time prior to the invention to add the plurality of entites and privileges as claimed as suggested by Boushy in conjunction with Brown to Pease game system and method to permit access while protecting data therein.

Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), because the prior art has industrial applicability as gaming terminal data repository.

	NEW CITATIONS	
US 5,761,647 A	(BOUSHY) 02 June	1998, 2:5-3:30, figs 1-12.
US 5,923,885 A	(JOHNSON et al) 13	July 1999, 5:66-6:32.